



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/875,549

06/06/2001

Sho Kuwamoto

07844-731001

6894

21876 7590 04/15/2008  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
P.O. Box 1022  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

TANG, KENNETH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2195

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/875,549 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>KUWAMOTO ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>KENNETH TANG      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2195                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENNETH TANG.

(3) Brian Wacter.

(2) William Hunter.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,961,750 (Burd et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that the amendment to the claims clarified that a result generated by the server is stored at the client. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Kenneth Tang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2195

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required